Atty Dkt No. UOM 0285 PUSP

S/N: 10/737,363

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

Remarks

In the Office Action dated April 7, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 21 and

22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by the U.S. Patent to Cornett, et al. 6,734,762.

The Examiner indicated that claims 1-20 and 23 were allowable over the prior art of record.

By this Amendment, Applicants' Attorney has cancelled rejected claims 21 and

22. Applicants' Attorney reserves the right to refile claims 21 and 22 or a modified version

of claims 21-22 in a subsequent continuing application. However, claims 21 and 22 are being

cancelled at this time to allow claims 1-20 and 23 to issue.

Consequently, in view of the above and in the absence of better art Applicants'

Attorney respectfully submits the application is in condition for allowance which allowance is

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Clark T.-C. Nguyen, et al.

David R. Syrowik

Reg. No. 27,956

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: June 8, 2005

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor

Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400

Fax: 248-358-3351

-5-